arXiv:1604.08434v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 28 Apr 2016

A TBA description of thermal transport in the XXZ Heisenberg model

X. Zotos
Department of Physics, University of Crete,
71003 Heraklion, Greece
Max-Planck-Institut für Physik Komplexer Systeme,
Nöthnitzer Strasse 38, 01187 Dresden, Germany

Abstract

It is shown that the Bethe ansatz formulation of the easy-plane 1D Heisenberg model thermodynamics (TBA) by Takahashi and Suzuki [1] and the subsequent analysis of the spin Drude weight [2], also reproduces the thermal Drude weight and magnetothermal coefficient obtained by the Quantum Transfer Matrix method (QTM) [3]. It can also be extended to study the far-out of equilibrium energy current generated at the interface between two semi-infinite chains held at different temperatures.

The one dimensional spin-1/2 Heisenberg is the prototype model integrable by the Bethe ansatz method [4, 5, 6]. Its thermodynamic properties have been studied, first by a method proposed by Takahashi and Suzuki (TS) [1] along the lines proposed by Yang and Yang [8] and later by a transfer matrix nethod (QTM) proposed by Klümper [3].

Concerning the spin and thermal transport, the existence of conservation laws generically implies unconventional - ballistic - transport [7]. In particular, the energy current commutes with the Hamiltonian resulting to purely ballistic thermal transport characterized by the thermal Drude weight D_{th}. This fact has further promoted the study of thermal conduction by magnetic excitations in novel, high quality, quasi-one dimensional magnetic compounds [9]. The temperature and magnetic field dependence of D_{th} has been evaluated by an extension of the QTM method [10, 11].

On the spin transport, the situation is more involved as the spin current is not a conserved quantity. Although ballistic transport can be established at finite magnetization using the Mazur inequality [7], at zero magnetization a finite spin Drude weight was found at all temperatures in the easy-plane regime using a TBA approach [2]. The results of this approach were recently corroborated by the finding of a quasi-local conervation law that provides a bound on the spin Drude weight [12, 13]. In this note, it is pointed out that the thermal Drude weight D_{th} can similarly be obtained by the formulation of TS. The analysis of the spin Drude weight [2] is closely followed.

Concerning the far-out of equilibrium thermal conductance, the idea that the steady state energy current between two semi-infinite ballistic systems held at different temperatures is given by a Landauer description has a long history; it has recently been investigated in a series of pioneering studies [14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. Here it is shown that recent numerical simulation results of the non-equilibrium steady current can be fairly accurately reproduced by the same TBA analysis.

The proposed fermionic quasi-particle description of the thermal Drude weight and far-out of equilibrium thermal current is rather appealing as it promotes a semi-phenomenological description of this system.

The XXZ anisotropic Heisenberg Hamiltonian for a chain of N sites with periodic boundary conditions $\sigma^a_{N+1} = \sigma^a_1$ is given by,

$$H = J\sum_{i=1}^{N}(\frac{1}{2}e^{i\phi}\sigma_i^+\sigma_{i+1}^- + h.c.) + \frac{\Delta}{4}\sigma_i^z\sigma_{i+1}^z - \frac{h}{2}\sigma_i^z, \tag{1}$$

where σ_i^a are Pauli spin operators and a spin current generating fictitious flux ϕ is introduced [19, 7]. The region $0 \leq \Delta \leq 1$ is commony parametrized by $\Delta = \cos\theta$, J is taken as the unit of energy. For completness, a concise description of the TS formulation follows.

The pseudomomenta k_α characterizing the Bethe ansatz wavefunctions are expressed in terms of the rapidities x_α,

$$\cot(\frac{k_\alpha}{2}) = \cot(\frac{\theta}{2})\tanh(\frac{\theta x_\alpha}{2}). \tag{2}$$

For M down spins and N-M up spins the energy E and momentum K are given by:

$$E = J\sum_{\alpha=1}^{M}(\cos k_\alpha - \Delta), \qquad K = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} k_\alpha. \tag{3}$$

Imposing periodic boundary conditions on the Bethe ansatz wavefunctions the following relations hold,

$$\Big\{\frac{\sinh\frac{1}{2}\theta(x_\alpha+i)}{\sinh\frac{1}{2}\theta(x_\alpha-i)}\Big\}^N = -e^{i\phi N}\prod_{\beta=1}^{M}\Big\{\frac{\sinh\frac{1}{2}\theta(x_\alpha-x_\beta+2i)}{\sinh\frac{1}{2}\theta(x_\alpha-x_\beta-2i)}\Big\}; \quad \alpha = 1,2,...M. \tag{4}$$

In the thermodynamic limit, the solutions of equations (4) are grouped into strings of order $n_j, j = 1,...,\nu$ and parity $v_j = +$ or $-$. Hereafter, for simplicity, θ is limited to the case $\theta = \pi/\nu$ where the allowed strings are of order $n_j = j, j = 1,...,\nu-1$ and parity $v_j = +$ of the form,

$$x^{n,k}_{\alpha,+} = x^n_\alpha + (n+1-2k)i + O(e^{-\delta N}); \quad k = 1,2,...n, \tag{5}$$

and strings of order $n_\nu = 1$ and parity $v_\nu = -$ of the form,

$$x_{\alpha,-} = x_\alpha + i\nu + O(e^{-\delta N}), \quad \delta > 0. \tag{6}$$

In the thermodynamic limit the densities of excitations ρ_j and holes ρ_j^h are given by,

$$a_j = \lambda_j(\rho_j + \rho_j^h) + \sum_k T_{jk} * \rho_k, \quad a_j(x) = \frac{v_j}{2\nu} \frac{\sin(\frac{n_j \pi}{\nu})}{\cosh(\frac{\pi x}{\nu}) - v_j \cos(\frac{n_j \pi}{\nu})} \tag{7}$$

with $a * b(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} a(x-y)b(y)dy$ and T_{jk} the phase shifts given by TS. The sum over k is constrained to the allowed strings, given in our case by the equations (5,6) and $\lambda_j = 1, j = 1, ..., \nu - 1$, $\lambda_\nu = -1$

To study thermal transport, it is noted that the derivatives of the monodromy matrix with respect to the spectral parameter generate the conservation laws of the system [6]. In particular, the first derivative generates the Hamiltonian H, while the second the energy current J_E. The energy and entropy of a BA state are given by,

$$E/N = \sum_j \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \ (\epsilon_j^{(0)}(\phi) - hn_j + \xi_j j_j^{(0)})\rho_j \tag{8}$$

$$S/N = \sum_j \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \ (\rho_j + \rho_j^h)\ln(\rho_j + \rho_j^h) - \rho_j \ln \rho_j - \rho_j^h \ln \rho_j^h \tag{9}$$

where $\epsilon_j^{(0)} = -Aa_j$ ($A = 2\nu \sin(\pi/\nu)J$) are the zero excitation energies and $j_j^{(0)} = A\partial\epsilon_j^{(0)}/\partial x$ the corresponding eigenvalues of the energy current operator. The fictitious fields ξ_j coupled to the eigenvalues of the conserved energy current operator J_E are introduced. Minimizing the free energy the standard Bethe ansatz equations for the temperature dependent effective dispersions are given by, ϵ_j at temperature T,

$$\epsilon_j = (\epsilon_j^{(0)}(\phi) - hn_j + \xi_j j_j^{(0)}) + T \sum_k \lambda_k T_{jk} * \ln(1 + e^{-\beta\epsilon_k}). \tag{10}$$

In [2] the spin Drude weight was obtained in the form,

$$D = \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_j \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx(\rho_j + \rho_j^h) < n_j > (1 - < n_j >)(j_j^{s2}) \tag{11}$$

with $< n_j >= 1/(1 + e^{\beta\epsilon_j})$ and j_j^s, related to the phase dependence ϕ of the energy eigenvalues, can be interpreted as the effective spin current of the string excitations given by the solution of the corresponding BA non-linear integral equations. It suggested an interesting interpretation by comparing it to the analogous expression for independent fermions.

To derive an analogous expresion for the thermal Drude weight the free energy density f can first be written in the convenient form,

$$f = F/N = -T \sum_j \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \lambda_j a_j \ln(1 + e^{-\beta\epsilon_j}). \tag{12}$$

The thermal Drude weight is given by the 2nd derivative with respect to ξ ($\xi_j(x) = \xi$),

$$D_{th} = \frac{\beta^2}{2N} < J_E^2 >, \quad -T\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \xi^2}\Big|_{\xi=0} =< J_E^2 > \tag{13}$$

where J_E is the energy current operator [7]. Using the key observation $\partial\epsilon_j/\partial\xi = A\partial\epsilon_j/\partial x$ and following manipulations in [8] (multiplying (10) by ρ_j, integrating over x and summing over j),

$$< J_E^2 > /N = \sum_j \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx(\rho_j + \rho_j^h) < n_j > (1- < n_j >)(j_j^{\epsilon 2}) \tag{14}$$

with $j_j^\epsilon = A\partial\epsilon_j/\partial x$ the effective energy current of the string excitations. This formulation reproduces the data of the QTM [10] as a function of temperature and magnetic field for $\Delta = \cos(\pi/\nu)$.

Along the same line the magnetothermal correlation can be evaluated by,

$$\begin{aligned} < J_S J_E > /N &= -T\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial\phi\partial\xi}\Big|_{\phi,\xi=0} \\ &= \sum_j \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx(\rho_j + \rho_j^h) < n_j > (1- < n_j >)(j_j^s j_j^\epsilon). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Note that the coincidence of $< J_E J_S >$ results with the corresponding QTM data [11], provides another view on the "rigid string hypothesis" [20, 2]. While the QTM is elegant and powerful as it provides straightforward data at all values of the anisotropy parameter Δ, the TBA approach offers an appealing fermionic quasi-particle picture.

In recent studies of far-out equilibrium thermal transport, two semi-infinite chains (L - left, R - right) initially held at different temperatures T_L, T_R are brought into contact and the long time energy current at the junction is observed. A physically motivated ansatz to evaluate the expectation value of the current $< J_E >$ at the junction is to assume that the far from the junction thermal baths impose left (right) currents $< J_{L(R)} >$ so that $< J_E >=< J_L > + < J_R >$. $< J_{L(R)} >$ is the energy current carried by positive (negative) velocity excitations. Of course this ansatz relies on the integrability of the XXZ chain implying that each eigenstate is also an eigenstate of the energy current operator. Using the relation

$$< J_L >= \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi_L}\Big|_{\xi_L=0}, \tag{16}$$

with the condition $\xi_j(x) = \xi_L$ for $x > 0$ and $\xi_j(x) = 0$ for $x < 0$ at $\beta_L = 1/T_L$, $< J_L >$ is given by,

$$< J_L >= \sum_j \int_0^{+\infty} dx\lambda_j a_j < n_j > j_j^\epsilon. \tag{17}$$

$j_j^\epsilon = \partial\epsilon_j/\partial\xi$ is obtained from (10) under the above condition by,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial\epsilon_j}{\partial\xi}\Big|_{x>0} &= j_j^{(0)} - \sum_k \lambda_k T_{jk} * (< n_k > \frac{\epsilon_k}{\partial\xi}) \\ \frac{\partial\epsilon_j}{\partial\xi}\Big|_{x<0} &= -\sum_k \lambda_k T_{jk} * (< n_k > \frac{\epsilon_k}{\partial\xi}). \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$



Figure 1: Energy current as a function of temperature difference under the condition $\beta_R = \beta_L/2$; symbols are DMRG data from [18].

Symmetrically, $< J_R >$ (of opposite sign) is evaluated at inverse temperature $\beta_R = 1/T_R$ by integrating over $-\infty < x < 0$. At the moment, the validity of this ansatz only rests on its fair agreement with recent DMRG numerical simulation studies [17, 18] as shown in Figures 1 and 2. It has been demonstrated in the framework of CFT's [15] and questioned in the context of integrable field theories [16]. Note that in the zero temperature limit $< J_L >$ behaves as expected $< J_L >= (\pi/12)T_L^2$.

Finally, the dependence of the current at different magnetic fields is presented in Figure 3, where the critical field from the gapless antiferromagnetic to the gapped ferromagnetic state occurs at $h = J(1+\Delta) = 1.5$. The non-monotonic behavior observed approaching the critical field is puzzling but reminiscent of a similar behavior of other magnetothermal quantities [21]. Of course in a finite magnetic field the thermal current has also a component from the spin current, that although ballistic, is not conserved.

It is interesting to study the applicability of this formulation to baths in a magnetic field, at different anisotropy parameters, other integrable systems on



Figure 2: Energy current as a function of temperature; symbols are DMRG data from [17] shifted by $< J_L(T_L = \infty) >$.



Figure 3: Energy current as a function of temperature at $\Delta = 0.5$ for different magnetic fields.

a lattice with conserved currents as well as integrable field theories.

This work was supported by the European Union Program No. FP7-REGPOT-2012-2013-1 under Grant No. 316165.

References

[1] M. Takahashi and M. Suzuki, Prog. Theor. Phys. **48**, 2187 (1972).

[2] X. Zotos, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 1764 (1999).

[3] A. Klümper: Z. Phys. B**91**, 507 (1993).

[4] H. Bethe, Z. Phys. **71**, 205 (1931).

[5] R.J. Baxter, Ann. of Phys. **70**, 193,323 (1972).

[6] L.Faddeev, Sov. Sci. Reviews, Harwood Academic, London, **C1**, 107 (1980).

[7] X. Zotos, F. Naef and P. Prelovšek, Phys. Rev. B**55**, 11029 (1997).

[8] C.N. Yang and C.P. Yang, J. Math. Phys. **10**, 1115 (1969).

[9] C. Hess, Eur. Phys. J. Special Topics **151**, 73 (2007).

[10] A. Klümper and K. Sakai, J. Phys. A **35**, 2173 (2002).

[11] K. Sakai, and A. Klümper, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. Suppl. **74**, 196 (2005).

[12] T. Prosen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 217206 (2011)

[13] R.G. Pereira, V. Pasquier, J. Sirker and I. Affleck, J. Stat. Mech. P09037 (2014).

[14] P. Erdös, Phys. Rev. **139**, A1249 (1965).

[15] D. Bernard and B. Doyon, J. Phys. Math. Theor. **45**, 362001 (2012); review article in JSTAT; arXiv:1603.07765

[16] O. Castro-Alvaredo, Y. Chen, B. Doyon and M. Hoogeveen, J. Stat. Mech. P03011 (2014).

[17] C. Karrasch, R. Ilan and J.E. Moore, Phys. Rev. B**88**, 195129 (2013).

[18] A. De Luca, J. Viti, L. Mazza, and D. Rossini, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 161101 (2014).

[19] W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. **133**, 171 (1964).

[20] S. Fujimoto and N. Kawakami, J. Phys. A. **31** 465 (1998).

[21] C. Psaroudaki and X. Zotos, to appear in J. Stat. Mech. (2016); arXiv:1502.05557.